

THE ROBESON FRAUDS.

More Important Testimony Before the Investigating Committee.

FALSE REPORTS OF THE PROCEEDINGS.

Contracts Made and Drawn Up by Order of Robeson.

WHY MR. KING BECAME ANGRY.

Clear Evidence that Robeson Knew he was Paying \$90,000 in Disfranchisement.

Important Documents Missing.

Robeson Declares that he Does Not Know Where They Are.

Special Dispatch to The Sun.

WASHINGTON, April 1.—The Robeson Investigating Committee resumed its duties this evening, the appointed hour being 7 o'clock. Promptly at that time Mr. Dana, accompanied by Mr. Willard Bartlett, his counsel, entered the room. Five minutes later Secretary Robeson, armed with a huge package of papers, and attended by a friend, Gov. Blair, Chairman of the Committee, was the next to put in an appearance. Secretary Robeson unfolded his package and handed the Chairman a bulky document, which the Chairman in turn handed to Mr. Bartlett, who was soon buried in its contents. Among the spectators were ex-Chief of the Bureau of Construction, John Lenthall, Mr. Wilson, Master Machinist Washington Navy Yard, C. W. H. Secor, New York, and others. A quarter past seven the members of the committee were all present, and the proceedings began.

FALSE REPORTS BY TELEGRAPH. Mr. Bartlett called the attention of the committee to an inaccuracy in some printed reports of the proceedings at the last meeting. He represented as saying that if he was compelled to rely on legal testimony he could not make out his case. He denied that he had used this remark or anything like it.

THE CHAIRMAN ANNOUNCED THE DECISION OF THE COMMITTEE ON THE QUESTION PENDING AT THE LAST adjournment, on the admission of Col. Wood's testimony, regarding Robeson's conduct to him by the late Simon Johnson, that such evidence could not be properly admitted.

COM. CASE, CHIEF OF THE BUREAU OF ORDNANCE, was the first witness called. He testified that he signed the contract for the two engines for torpedo boats by the personal order and direction of Secretary Robeson; that he was not to select the parties or fix the price, or determine as to the size, power, or finish of the engines. The contract was brought to him complete, and he was ordered to sign it. He did not sign it prior to the contract. The reason witness did not advertise was because the law as he understood it did not require the Department to advertise ordnance stores, with which he classed the engines and the other appliances of the torpedo boats. The contract for the iron to be used on these boats was signed by the witness in the same manner, having previously been prepared by Secretary Robeson.

WITNESSES did not know the contractors nor fix the price. He considered it his duty to obey all orders of the Secretary. On cross-examination by Secretary Robeson, he testified that the torpedo boats as experiments. He thought the Foster wheel was a good one, and if it succeeded it would work a revolution in propellers. One reason why the contract was made, the Secretary was that witness had found complicated with the other bureaus, and had preferred that the whole matter be controlled by their common chief.

JUDGE SHERBORN AGAIN. Mr. H. A. Goldsboro, Chief Clerk of the Bureau of Ordnance and Repairs, sworn in, testified that he was present at the time the contract was made. He testified that \$30,000 was paid to Secor in 1870. There was evidence in the Bureau for the year ending June, 1871, of one million and half dollars. Will examine the books and prepare to testify definitely about this tomorrow night.

Mr. Bartlett submitted a bill for \$35,000 for night and Sunday work on the Westchester, and asked when it was ordered paid.

Mr. Sargent objected that this was not one of the Secretary's items. Mr. Bartlett said that it was one of the Secretary's items.

Mr. Sargent—There may be fifty Secret claims, but the committee has decided to hear testimony at present only on the specific charges in the Secor case.

THE CHAIRMAN—If a charge for stealing a horse is advanced, it may be proper to prove that the man was present when the horse was stolen. I think on the general charges this bill is a proper matter for investigation.

By Mr. Archer—Is this the only claim for extra work that has been presented at your Bureau by Secor? Answer—Subsequent to 1870 it is. There might have been bills for extra work prior to that time. Of that I do not know.

By Mr. Archer—You were formerly chief clerk of the Bureau of Steam Engineering. Was appointed by Mr. Welles. Served until August, 1870. While Mr. Shock was Acting as Chief of Bureau in the absence of Mr. King in 1870 or early in 1871, a package of papers were referred to the Bureau by the Secretary. Was a report of a board on the Secor claims the same report that has been sworn to here. I said they did not belong to our Bureau and should be referred elsewhere. They were evidently sent there by mistake. Mr. Shock told me to look over and make a report. I did not make any report about \$50,000. I made a report calling the Secretary's attention to the law of 1868 setting these claims, and gave them to Mr. Shock. Don't know what he did with them. Next day I spoke to Mr. Lenthall about the matter, and told him what I had done. After Mr. King returned, he came to me and brought me my letter to the Secretary. He seemed to be

VERY ANGRY ABOUT IT. I don't know anything else about the claims. It was my opinion that the law of 1868 prevented the Secors from making any further claims. Accompanying the papers was a letter written by Mr. King, in which he said that those claims

had not been considered by the former Board, of which he was a member. I can't remember what Mr. Lenthall said when I spoke to him about the matter. I believe he said something about knowing of the existence of the law, but I never knew of a contract for an engine during the war that was not accompanied by specifications in the most minute details.

By Secretary Robeson—The contracts for engines during the war were not always advertised in the papers, but specifications were sent around and bids invited from all the builders. The witness had no communication with the Secor, nor had he furnished that paper any information of any kind.

By Mr. Archer—Do you know where the papers in the March Board should have been? A.—They should be in the Department proper.

INTERESTING CONVERSATION. Mr. Goldsboro stated that he found the papers of the board in the Bureau of Construction.

Mr. Arthur—They should have been in the Department proper.

Secretary Robeson—The report is printed. The Chairman—That is the report, but where are the papers the board acted upon?

Secretary Robeson—I don't think they had any papers.

Mr. Archer—Oh, all the witnesses speak of furnishing that board a great many papers. Secretary Robeson—Ah, I had forgotten that perhaps they were sent to Congress.

Mr. Archer—They wouldn't likely send the records of the Department.

The Secretary here approached the Chairman and held a conversation with him in an undertone, explaining the absence of the papers required.

The committee then adjourned until tomorrow evening.

THE COMMITTEE OF ONE.

An Examination Relative to Gratz Nathan's and Judge Cardozo's Checks. Mr. Parsons' Suspensions—Judge Cardozo's Opinion—Samuel J. Tilden's Fall.

The Judiciary Committee met yesterday afternoon in the Fifth Avenue Hotel. Mr. Samuel J. Tilden, Chairman of the Judiciary Committee, presided. Before the proceedings the committee had a friendly chat with Judge Cardozo and his counsel, Mr. Owen. Mr. Parsons heartily shook hands with Judge Cardozo, to whom he, as the prosecutor on the part of the Bar Association, is remarkably friendly.

Mr. Tilden (poking his nose)—The clerk will please to call the roll.

Mr. Parsons—The clerk is not here.

Search was made for the clerk, who could not be found, however.

Mr. Tilden—I want to say a word to the stenographer before I commence work.

Mr. Parsons—The stenographer is not here.

Mr. Tilden—Where are the other members of the committee?

Mr. Parsons—I don't know.

Mr. Tilden—Somebody must call the roll. Suppose you do it.

Mr. Parsons—Well, Mr. Chairman, Mr. Prince, Mr. Parsons, Mr. Vallier.

Mr. Tilden—Not here.

Mr. Parsons—Mr. Hill.

Mr. Tilden—Not here.

Mr. Parsons—Mr. Nelson.

Mr. Tilden—Not here.

Mr. Parsons—Mr. Strahan.

Mr. Tilden—Mr. Strahan is somewhere round here. I don't think he'll attend this body.

THE COMMITTEE OF ONE.

Mr. Parsons (laughing)—Well, then, you are the Judiciary Committee.

Mr. Tilden—The Judiciary Committee. Mr. Tilden smiled all the time. In the Judiciary Committee, each and every member for the present, and for the future, are your witnesses here.

Mr. Parsons—Yes, sir.

Mr. Tilden—Well, then, you just as well go on now.

Mr. Tilden (shaking hands with him)—I know Judge Cardozo's name. I know Judge Cardozo's name. I know Judge Cardozo's name.

Mr. Tilden—Judge Cardozo's name. I know Judge Cardozo's name. I know Judge Cardozo's name.

Mr. Tilden—Judge Cardozo's name. I know Judge Cardozo's name. I know Judge Cardozo's name.

Mr. Tilden—Judge Cardozo's name. I know Judge Cardozo's name. I know Judge Cardozo's name.

Mr. Tilden—Judge Cardozo's name. I know Judge Cardozo's name. I know Judge Cardozo's name.

Mr. Tilden—Judge Cardozo's name. I know Judge Cardozo's name. I know Judge Cardozo's name.

Mr. Tilden—Judge Cardozo's name. I know Judge Cardozo's name. I know Judge Cardozo's name.

Mr. Tilden—Judge Cardozo's name. I know Judge Cardozo's name. I know Judge Cardozo's name.

Mr. Tilden—Judge Cardozo's name. I know Judge Cardozo's name. I know Judge Cardozo's name.

CONNECTICUT ELECTION.

PROBABLY NO CHOICE BY THE PEOPLE.

Both Branches of the Legislature Republican—Hartford City Electing a Republican Mayor—The Temperance News and Labor Reformers Polling Daily about 1,000 Votes.

HARTFORD, April 2.—The election to-day passed off quietly, and with present appearances nothing like a full vote in field. There were four State tickets in the field, headed by R. D. Hubbard, Democrat; Marshall Jewell, Republican; Francis Gillette, Temperance; and A. R. Harrison, Labor Reform.

The votes cast for the Temperance ticket even, probably for the most part drawn from the Republican party, while the Labor Reform votes were about equally drawn from both parties.

The Liberal Republicans generally worked and voted for the regular nominations. Many, however, stayed away from the polls, as did a large number of Bourbon Democrats, who were dissatisfied with the liberal platform, and the still more liberal candidates of their party. The returns received up to this hour (2 A. M.) do not indicate a victory for either side. In all probability there is no election of Governor by the people.

THE LATEST RETURNS.

Returns from 124 towns give Jewell 36,701; Hubbard, 34,291; Gillette, 1,142; Harrison, 281. Jewell's majority over all, 621. Jewell's gain as against the field compared with last year, 519.

In 73 towns the Republicans have a net gain of four Representatives. The Senate will certainly stand as well as last year—13 to 8, with a good chance of being 14 to 1. It looks now as if Jewell may have been elected by the people.

New London county, with one town lacking gives Jewell 4,531; Hubbard 4,569; Gillette 218.

Windham county, except two towns, gives Jewell 3,005; Hubbard, 1,314; Gillette, 9. The towns to hear from will add about 60 to Jewell's majority.

Fairfield county gives Jewell 6,001, and Hubbard 4,000. Smith P. Glover (Rep.) is elected Senator in the Eleventh District by a plurality, and Asa B. Woodward (Rep.) is elected in the Twelfth District. Leeds (Dem.) is elected Sheriff.

In Hartford city the Republicans elect Henry C. Robinson, Mayor, by over 350 majority, and all their city tickets, and also carry five wards out of seven.

In Middlesex county the Republican Sheriff is elected. The Democratic Senator from the Eleventh District is elected. The Republican Senator from the Nineteenth District is elected.

Another Democratic Circuit in Brooklyn—Whipped the Legislature of Aldermen—At the meeting of the Brooklyn Board of Aldermen yesterday afternoon, Street Commissioner Furey was present in his usual place, beside the President's desk, and in front of the Aldermen. Alderman Canby, of the Fifth Ward, moved the case of Mr. Furey, whose seat as Alderman of the Eleventh Ward was contested by Mr. Douglas, be taken to the Board of Aldermen, and the case of Mr. Furey, who was elected to the Eleventh Ward, be taken to the Board of Aldermen.

Mr. Furey moved the case of Mr. Furey, who was elected to the Eleventh Ward, be taken to the Board of Aldermen, and the case of Mr. Furey, who was elected to the Eleventh Ward, be taken to the Board of Aldermen.

Mr. Furey moved the case of Mr. Furey, who was elected to the Eleventh Ward, be taken to the Board of Aldermen, and the case of Mr. Furey, who was elected to the Eleventh Ward, be taken to the Board of Aldermen.

Mr. Furey moved the case of Mr. Furey, who was elected to the Eleventh Ward, be taken to the Board of Aldermen, and the case of Mr. Furey, who was elected to the Eleventh Ward, be taken to the Board of Aldermen.

Mr. Furey moved the case of Mr. Furey, who was elected to the Eleventh Ward, be taken to the Board of Aldermen, and the case of Mr. Furey, who was elected to the Eleventh Ward, be taken to the Board of Aldermen.

Mr. Furey moved the case of Mr. Furey, who was elected to the Eleventh Ward, be taken to the Board of Aldermen, and the case of Mr. Furey, who was elected to the Eleventh Ward, be taken to the Board of Aldermen.

Mr. Furey moved the case of Mr. Furey, who was elected to the Eleventh Ward, be taken to the Board of Aldermen, and the case of Mr. Furey, who was elected to the Eleventh Ward, be taken to the Board of Aldermen.

Mr. Furey moved the case of Mr. Furey, who was elected to the Eleventh Ward, be taken to the Board of Aldermen, and the case of Mr. Furey, who was elected to the Eleventh Ward, be taken to the Board of Aldermen.

Mr. Furey moved the case of Mr. Furey, who was elected to the Eleventh Ward, be taken to the Board of Aldermen, and the case of Mr. Furey, who was elected to the Eleventh Ward, be taken to the Board of Aldermen.

Mr. Furey moved the case of Mr. Furey, who was elected to the Eleventh Ward, be taken to the Board of Aldermen, and the case of Mr. Furey, who was elected to the Eleventh Ward, be taken to the Board of Aldermen.

Mr. Furey moved the case of Mr. Furey, who was elected to the Eleventh Ward, be taken to the Board of Aldermen, and the case of Mr. Furey, who was elected to the Eleventh Ward, be taken to the Board of Aldermen.

Mr. Furey moved the case of Mr. Furey, who was elected to the Eleventh Ward, be taken to the Board of Aldermen, and the case of Mr. Furey, who was elected to the Eleventh Ward, be taken to the Board of Aldermen.

Mr. Furey moved the case of Mr. Furey, who was elected to the Eleventh Ward, be taken to the Board of Aldermen, and the case of Mr. Furey, who was elected to the Eleventh Ward, be taken to the Board of Aldermen.

Mr. Furey moved the case of Mr. Furey, who was elected to the Eleventh Ward, be taken to the Board of Aldermen, and the case of Mr. Furey, who was elected to the Eleventh Ward, be taken to the Board of Aldermen.

Mr. Furey moved the case of Mr. Furey, who was elected to the Eleventh Ward, be taken to the Board of Aldermen, and the case of Mr. Furey, who was elected to the Eleventh Ward, be taken to the Board of Aldermen.

Mr. Furey moved the case of Mr. Furey, who was elected to the Eleventh Ward, be taken to the Board of Aldermen, and the case of Mr. Furey, who was elected to the Eleventh Ward, be taken to the Board of Aldermen.

Mr. Furey moved the case of Mr. Furey, who was elected to the Eleventh Ward, be taken to the Board of Aldermen, and the case of Mr. Furey, who was elected to the Eleventh Ward, be taken to the Board of Aldermen.

Mr. Furey moved the case of Mr. Furey, who was elected to the Eleventh Ward, be taken to the Board of Aldermen, and the case of Mr. Furey, who was elected to the Eleventh Ward, be taken to the Board of Aldermen.

Mr. Furey moved the case of Mr. Furey, who was elected to the Eleventh Ward, be taken to the Board of Aldermen, and the case of Mr. Furey, who was elected to the Eleventh Ward, be taken to the Board of Aldermen.

Mr. Furey moved the case of Mr. Furey, who was elected to the Eleventh Ward, be taken to the Board of Aldermen, and the case of Mr. Furey, who was elected to the Eleventh Ward, be taken to the Board of Aldermen.

Mr. Furey moved the case of Mr. Furey, who was elected to the Eleventh Ward, be taken to the Board of Aldermen, and the case of Mr. Furey, who was elected to the Eleventh Ward, be taken to the Board of Aldermen.

Mr. Furey moved the case of Mr. Furey, who was elected to the Eleventh Ward, be taken to the Board of Aldermen, and the case of Mr. Furey, who was elected to the Eleventh Ward, be taken to the Board of Aldermen.

Mr. Furey moved the case of Mr. Furey, who was elected to the Eleventh Ward, be taken to the Board of Aldermen, and the case of Mr. Furey, who was elected to the Eleventh Ward, be taken to the Board of Aldermen.

Mr. Furey moved the case of Mr. Furey, who was elected to the Eleventh Ward, be taken to the Board of Aldermen, and the case of Mr. Furey, who was elected to the Eleventh Ward, be taken to the Board of Aldermen.

Mr. Furey moved the case of Mr. Furey, who was elected to the Eleventh Ward, be taken to the Board of Aldermen, and the case of Mr. Furey, who was elected to the Eleventh Ward, be taken to the Board of Aldermen.

Mr. Furey moved the case of Mr. Furey, who was elected to the Eleventh Ward, be taken to the Board of Aldermen, and the case of Mr. Furey, who was elected to the Eleventh Ward, be taken to the Board of Aldermen.

Mr. Furey moved the case of Mr. Furey, who was elected to the Eleventh Ward, be taken to the Board of Aldermen, and the case of Mr. Furey, who was elected to the Eleventh Ward, be taken to the Board of Aldermen.

Mr. Furey moved the case of Mr. Furey, who was elected to the Eleventh Ward, be taken to the Board of Aldermen, and the case of Mr. Furey, who was elected to the Eleventh Ward, be taken to the Board of Aldermen.

Mr. Furey moved the case of Mr. Furey, who was elected to the Eleventh Ward, be taken to the Board of Aldermen, and the case of Mr. Furey, who was elected to the Eleventh Ward, be taken to the Board of Aldermen.

Mr. Furey moved the case of Mr. Furey, who was elected to the Eleventh Ward, be taken to the Board of Aldermen, and the case of Mr. Furey, who was elected to the Eleventh Ward, be taken to the Board of Aldermen.

Mr. Furey moved the case of Mr. Furey, who was elected to the Eleventh Ward, be taken to the Board of Aldermen, and the case of Mr. Furey, who was elected to the Eleventh Ward, be taken to the Board of Aldermen.

Mr. Furey moved the case of Mr. Furey, who was elected to the Eleventh Ward, be taken to the Board of Aldermen, and the case of Mr. Furey, who was elected to the Eleventh Ward, be taken to the Board of Aldermen.

THE GREAT CONSPIRACY.

The Arrest of Agni-Administration at 27 St. Charles of Whiskey Frauds Perpetrated in 1868—Davenport Earning Superintendant Kelo's Place.

As forewarned exclusively in Friday's Sun, the Grand Jury of the U. S. District Court have found indictments in the whiskey cases which attracted so much attention some years ago, in connection with the fugitive defaulter, ex-Collector Bailey. When impaneled, the Grand Jury were instructed by Judge Blatchford to investigate charges of collusion against certain officers, and to inquire into the locking up of gold by national banks. Instead of doing this, they took cognizance of old revenue cases, and have found indictments which are barred by the statute of limitations, and absolutely worthless. They turned aside from the gigantic frauds, the notorious and blackmailing operations of officials in the New York Custom House, all of which are of recent date; and to divert public attention from the thieves, they revamped the old cases of whiskey frauds, and found bills against some long retired from the business of distillation, and who had sent some of the witnesses against them to State prison or the penitentiary for perjury.

THE AUTHORS OF THE PLOT.

Marshall Murray says that the principal actors in this case were John D. Davenport, Attorney, Assistant District Attorney De Kay, and a notorious sneak thief. The two former, acting under the authority of Gen. Butler, manipulated the Grand Jury. Marshall Murray further says that he has proof of the sneak thief's part in the case, but he is not willing to blab the secret, till it reached the ears of the persons to be indicted, among whom were two well-known opponents of Gen. Grant—ex-District Attorney Courtney and ex-Marshall Murray himself. They nipped the conspiracy in the bud by appealing to the Grand Jury to indict the sneak thief, and he has been indicted.

About forty indictments have been found by the Grand Jury. Nearly all of them relate to old whiskey cases, and in no instance has a bill been found against a person who has been in the business of distilling since 1868. The indictments are directed by Judge Blatchford, Samuel N. Pake, ex-Clerk of the Court, and Simon Herman, Police Justice Court, Simon Herman, the Bowery auctioneer, and Joseph Pinsky have been indicted. The indictments are directed by Judge Blatchford, Samuel N. Pake, ex-Clerk of the Court, and Simon Herman, Police Justice Court, Simon Herman, the Bowery auctioneer, and Joseph Pinsky have been indicted.

The indictments are directed by Judge Blatchford, Samuel N. Pake, ex-Clerk of the Court, and Simon Herman, Police Justice Court, Simon Herman, the Bowery auctioneer, and Joseph Pinsky have been indicted.

The indictments are directed by Judge Blatchford, Samuel N. Pake, ex-Clerk of the Court, and Simon Herman, Police Justice Court, Simon Herman, the Bowery auctioneer, and Joseph Pinsky have been indicted.

The indictments are directed by Judge Blatchford, Samuel N. Pake, ex-Clerk of the Court, and Simon Herman, Police Justice Court, Simon Herman, the Bowery auctioneer, and Joseph Pinsky have been indicted.

The indictments are directed by Judge Blatchford, Samuel N. Pake, ex-Clerk of the Court, and Simon Herman, Police Justice Court, Simon Herman, the Bowery auctioneer, and Joseph Pinsky have been indicted.

The indictments are directed by Judge Blatchford, Samuel N. Pake, ex-Clerk of the Court, and Simon Herman, Police Justice Court, Simon Herman, the Bowery auctioneer, and Joseph Pinsky have been indicted.

The indictments are directed by Judge Blatchford, Samuel N. Pake, ex-Clerk of the Court, and Simon Herman, Police Justice Court, Simon Herman, the Bowery auctioneer, and Joseph Pinsky have been indicted.

The indictments are directed by Judge Blatchford, Samuel N. Pake, ex-Clerk of the Court, and Simon Herman, Police Justice Court, Simon Herman, the Bowery auctioneer, and Joseph Pinsky have been indicted.

The indictments are directed by Judge Blatchford, Samuel N. Pake, ex-Clerk of the Court, and Simon Herman, Police Justice Court, Simon Herman, the Bowery auctioneer, and Joseph Pinsky have been indicted.

The indictments are directed by Judge Blatchford, Samuel N. Pake, ex-Clerk of the Court, and Simon Herman, Police Justice Court, Simon Herman, the Bowery auctioneer, and Joseph Pinsky have been indicted.

The indictments are directed by Judge Blatchford, Samuel N. Pake, ex-Clerk of the Court, and Simon Herman, Police Justice Court, Simon Herman, the Bowery auctioneer, and Joseph Pinsky have been indicted.

The indictments are directed by Judge Blatchford, Samuel N. Pake, ex-Clerk of the Court, and Simon Herman, Police Justice Court, Simon Herman, the Bowery auctioneer, and Joseph Pinsky have been indicted.

The indictments are directed by Judge Blatchford, Samuel N. Pake, ex-Clerk of the Court, and Simon Herman, Police Justice Court, Simon Herman, the Bowery auctioneer, and Joseph Pinsky have been indicted.

The indictments are directed by Judge Blatchford, Samuel N. Pake, ex-Clerk of the Court, and Simon Herman, Police Justice Court, Simon Herman, the Bowery auctioneer, and Joseph Pinsky have been indicted.

The indictments are directed by Judge Blatchford, Samuel N. Pake, ex-Clerk of the Court, and Simon Herman, Police Justice Court, Simon Herman, the Bowery auctioneer, and Joseph Pinsky have been indicted.

The indictments are directed by Judge Blatchford, Samuel N. Pake, ex-Clerk of the Court, and Simon Herman, Police Justice Court, Simon Herman, the Bowery auctioneer, and Joseph Pinsky have been indicted.

The indictments are directed by Judge Blatchford, Samuel N. Pake, ex-Clerk of the Court, and Simon Herman, Police Justice Court, Simon Herman, the Bowery auctioneer, and Joseph Pinsky have been indicted.

The indictments are directed by Judge Blatchford, Samuel N. Pake, ex-Clerk of the Court, and Simon Herman, Police Justice Court, Simon Herman, the Bowery auctioneer, and Joseph Pinsky have been indicted.

The indictments are directed by Judge Blatchford, Samuel N. Pake, ex-Clerk of the Court, and Simon Herman, Police Justice Court, Simon Herman, the Bowery auctioneer, and Joseph Pinsky have been indicted.

The indictments are directed by Judge Blatchford, Samuel N. Pake, ex-Clerk of the Court, and Simon Herman, Police Justice Court, Simon Herman, the Bowery auctioneer, and Joseph Pinsky have been indicted.

The indictments are directed by Judge Blatchford, Samuel N. Pake, ex-Clerk of the Court, and Simon Herman, Police Justice Court, Simon Herman, the Bowery auctioneer, and Joseph Pinsky have been indicted.

The indictments are directed by Judge Blatchford, Samuel N. Pake, ex-Clerk of the Court, and Simon Herman, Police Justice Court, Simon Herman, the Bowery auctioneer, and Joseph Pinsky have been indicted.

The indictments are directed by Judge Blatchford, Samuel N. Pake, ex-Clerk of the Court, and Simon Herman, Police Justice Court, Simon Herman, the Bowery auctioneer, and Joseph Pinsky have been indicted.

The indictments are directed by Judge Blatchford, Samuel N. Pake, ex-Clerk of the Court, and Simon Herman, Police Justice Court, Simon Herman, the Bowery auctioneer, and Joseph Pinsky have been indicted.

The indictments are directed by Judge Blatchford, Samuel N. Pake, ex-Clerk of the Court, and Simon Herman, Police Justice Court, Simon Herman, the Bowery auctioneer, and Joseph Pinsky have been indicted.

The indictments are directed by Judge Blatchford, Samuel N. Pake, ex-Clerk of the Court, and Simon Herman, Police Justice Court, Simon Herman, the Bowery auctioneer, and Joseph Pinsky have been indicted.

The indictments are directed by Judge Blatchford, Samuel N. Pake, ex-Clerk of the Court, and Simon Herman, Police Justice Court, Simon Herman, the Bowery auctioneer, and Joseph Pinsky have been indicted.

The indictments are directed by Judge Blatchford, Samuel N. Pake, ex-Clerk of the Court, and Simon Herman, Police Justice Court, Simon Herman, the Bowery auctioneer, and Joseph Pinsky have been indicted.

The indictments are directed by Judge Blatchford, Samuel N. Pake, ex-Clerk of the Court, and Simon Herman, Police Justice Court, Simon Herman, the Bowery auctioneer, and Joseph Pinsky have been indicted.

The indictments are directed by Judge Blatchford, Samuel N. Pake, ex-Clerk of the Court, and Simon Herman, Police Justice Court, Simon Herman, the Bowery auctioneer, and Joseph Pinsky have been indicted.

The indictments are directed by Judge Blatchford, Samuel N. Pake, ex-Clerk of the Court, and Simon Herman, Police Justice Court, Simon Herman, the Bowery auctioneer, and Joseph Pinsky have been indicted.

The indictments are directed by Judge Blatchford, Samuel N. Pake, ex-Clerk of the Court, and Simon Herman, Police Justice Court, Simon Herman, the Bowery auctioneer, and Joseph Pinsky have been indicted.